



## WHY PARTICIPATE

- Rankings and directories are used by clients of all sizes as a factor in selection
- \* The increase of procurement teams running pitch/panel selection has sometimes made these more important as they are a definable metric
- It can be an effective way to easily increase visibility to clients globally
- The best directories have a rigorous submission process and the efforts for this can be used in other BD activities

## WHY NOT TO PARTICIPATE

- Data on the actual effectiveness of such rankings is patchy
- Many clients may not use them or only use them as part of the selection process
- The process can be time consuming and sometimes very frustrating

# WHAT TO CONSIDER BEFORE PARTICIPATING

- Why are you considering this?
- Do you need more clients or referrals?
- Where do you need these- nationally or internationally?
- Consider how this will fit with other BD activities.
- Will it enhance your efforts, allow you to be more organized and use information across different outlets?
- Will it be too time consuming and ultimately unrewarding?
- Will you need to invest in additional resources?
- Consider your ROI

#### **GOING FOR IT**

You've decided to participate in a directory. The question is which one?:

- Avoid anything that asks for payment before participation. Clients do not find anything that has a direct relationship between commerce and inclusion compelling.
- Ask what their distribution, readership, web traffic is like?
- Make sure they adequately cover your practice area so you are not misrepresented
- Be strategic clients often use directories for unusual geographies or practice areas

# **JOURNALISTIC**

 $\boldsymbol{A}$  key differentiator is journalistic vs. directory research approach.

- Need an angle/newsworthinessSnapshot of the year
- More people focused
- Less methodological
  Often good if you have had an exciting matter but is not an area you are noted for
- · Good for publicity
- Examples: The Lawyer Hot 100; Lawdragon 500; National Law Journal

# DIRECTORY

- More methodology but more submission process Historical reputation is a factor
- May need an accretion of feedback before inclusion
- Examples: Legal 500; Chambers; IFLR

# THE DIRECTORY LANDSCAPE

- Legal 500
- Chambers
- IFLR
- Best Lawyers
- Superlawyers
- Lawdragon Insight Guides
- Benchmark Litigation

-	
-	
•	
-	

## **SUBMISSIONS**

- Be brief and factual
- Focus on work that sets you apart:
  - Punching above your weight with clients/cases
  - Complex matters Legal Innovation
- Name names, where possible, to benchmark your practice: both clients and counsel you work with or
- Concentrate on getting one or two lawyers listed each
- $\ensuremath{^{\circ}}$  Show where the distinct features of your firm as a smaller firm in a network are advantageous

## **CLIENTS**

Clients are key to most rankings. If you participate in multiple rankings make sure you use client names carefully and prioritize.

- Pick clients who have good working knowledge of your lawyers
- Pick clients who will respond
- Ask the client's permission
- Make sure contact information is correct
- Check with directory on how they contact clients and
- · Ask directory for feedback on client response rate

Catherine McGregor

Chief of Strategic Development & Partner Lawdragon

catherine@lawdragon

- +44 (0) 2071898343
- +44 (0)7753 196264
- +1 929 841 4663
